(b) if so, what are the results Ihereof?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF EXTERNAL AFFAIRS (SHRI K. NATWAR SINGH): (a) and (b) India has been in touch with the concerned parties. If played a significant role in bringing about the first meeting between Prince Sihanouk and Prime Minister Hun Sen of the People's Republic of Kampuchea whicn broke the deadlock in efforts to resolve the. Kampuchea pio-blem.

Representatives of Foreign Firm dealing with Defence Departments

1630. SHRI CH1MANBHAI MEHTA; Will the Minister of DEFENCE be pleased to state;

(a) whether it j_s a fact that now no representatives of £ore«gn firms dealing with Defence departments are al'owsd to represent their foreign principals if so, since when this practice has been introduced;

(b) whether the department is finding it defficult to negotiate in the absence of local representatives and whether such a situation has any adverse effect on output or efficiency of the department if so. what detailed remedial measures, are considered by Government; and

(c) whether Government are reconsi dering the issue of allowing local ierie-sentatives of foreign firms to represent them, if so, what are the details theieof?

THE MINISTER OF DEFENCE (SHRI K. C. PANT); (a) From 1980 onwards a series of instructions wer_e issued to regulate the entry of retired Defence Services Officers, foreign suppliers and their Indian agents into th; Defence Security Zone. The levels at which foreign suppliers/their agents could be met by officers of the Ministry of Defence, with the prior approval of *the* concerned Adroinis-tiative Secretary, were also laid down. *lnter-alia,* it was decided that the Joint "Secretaries in the Department of Defence concerned with commercial negotiations for the acquisition of weapons and systems would ensure that agents were disallowed from participation in negotiations which should be conducted directly with the foreign supplier.!.

(b) and (c) A Committee had been set up under the chairmanship of the Defence Secretary to *inter-clia* leport on the *modus operandi* of foreign and Indian agents in defence purchases, to suggest necessary steps to eliminate such agents from defence transactions as well as to review and make suggestions regarding streamlining of the existing procedures. This Committee has since submitted its report which is under examination.

Merger of Non-Public Fund in Consolidated Fund of India in case of Air Force Unit run Canteens

1631. SHRI M. KADHARSHA: Will the Minister of DEFENCE be pleased to state:

Ca) what are the reasons that employees of Air Force Unit Run Canteens are not treated as Government Employees on {he lines of the Canteen Stores Department Employees as decided by Gov ernment vide Ministry of Defence letu No. 8(5)/77/1352/D(MOV);

(b) whether !he pay and allowances of Aii Force Unit Run Canteen Fmployecs arc feeirig disbursed from Non-Public Fund and if so, what are the leasons that the said amount is not merged into Consolidated Fund of India; and

(c) what are the reasons for not merging the Non-Public Fund in Consolidated Fund of India so that ths various facilities being provided to air troops can be charged/adjusted against the profits earned by Air Force Unit Run Canteens after the merger?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF DEFENCE (SHRI SAN-TOSH MOHAN DEV): (a) to (c) The Unit Run Canteens are managed by the concerned units and are run for the welfare of the troops. The employees of these Unit Run Canteens f.re paid from the profits earned by the concerned canteen. Each canteen is a separate entity

and its -employees are not transferable to other canteens some of these smaller canteens, specially at sec'udcd places, are run by the troops themselves after office hours.

The Unit Run Canteens are private institutions. The employees of *'he* Unit Run Canteens are, therefor, being paid from • the Non-Public Fund and hence cannot be merged with the -Consolidated Fund of Tndia.

Efficiency Bonus Scheme

1632. SHRI M. KHADHARSHA:

SHRI KAPIL VERMA;

Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state:

i

(a) whether it is a fact that Government had introduced Efficiency Bonus Scheme for LDCs in the year 1978;

(b) if so, what are the details thereof;

(c) whether the Fourth Fay Commission have recommended to increase [he rates of the above mentioned scheme;

(d) if so, the action taken thereon; and

(e) what are the reasons in case no action has been taken in this regard?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PERSONNEL, PUBLIC GRIEVANCES AND PENSIONS AND THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF HOME AFFAIRS (SHRI P. CHIDAMBARAM): (a) and (b) The Efficiency Bonus Scheme for Lower Division Clerks for acquiring proficiency in typewriting was introduced on 20th February, 1975. The Bonus rates were revised in 1979 with retrospective effect from 1st July. 1978. The salient features of the scheme are given in the Statement annexed hereto. *(See* below) .

(c) to (e) The Fourth Pay Commission did not make any specific recommendation in this regard. However, on the basis of the award df the Board of Arbitration the rates of efficiency bonus have further been revised o$_n$ 10th July, 1987 effective from 7th October, 1980 a$_s$ follows;—

Speed	Revised rates of Bonus
	Rs. p.m.
(i) 40 w.p.m. in English or 35 w.p.m. in Hindi .	40
(ii) 40 w.p.m. in English and 35 w.p.m. in Hindi .	80

Statement

Salient features of the Efficiency Bonus Scheme

I—Rates of Bonus

Speed	Rate (as revised from 1-7-78)
	Rs. p.m.
(i) 40 w.pm. in English or 35 w.p.m. in Hindi .	25
(ii) 40 w.p.m. in English and 35 w.p.m. in Hindi .	50

II—*Conditions for Admissibility*

(i) The bonus will be payable as long as the LDCs maintain the speed which will be tested periodically.

(ii) There will be no time or age limit for qualifying in the test to draw the incentive bonus.

(iii) The work norms of the LDCs who qualify for the Efficiency Bonus will be revised upward according to their speed in typewriting.

(iv) The Efficiency Bonus will be payable only for doing typing work or while posted on identified jobs which make use of their efficiency in typing. The Effi-